

FSC Guidance Note No. 55

Voting Policy, Voting Record and Disclosure

22 June 2026

FSC Guidance Note No. 55

Voting Policy, Voting Record and Disclosure

Date of this version: 22 June 2026

History (prior version) of this Guidance Note: Previous versions as an FSC Standard:
October 2004
March 2013
June 2019
May 2020

Application of this Guidance Note: This Standard is relevant to Scheme Operators that have the ability to vote in publicly listed companies and ETPs which they are invested in.

This will include investment managers, asset owners and wealth managers.

Main Purpose of this Guidance Note: The main purpose of this Guidance Note is to assist an Operator in formulating and maintaining a Voting Policy that is publicly available to Scheme Members.

Disclaimer: *This document does not constitute any legal, accounting, tax or financial product advice, and does not take into account the objectives, financial situation or needs of any person or the terms of any commercial transaction. Users should obtain their own professional advice tailored to their own circumstances before using this document for their own commercial purposes. The Financial Services Council Ltd (FSC) does not give any warranty with respect to this document and has no responsibility for any loss, damage or liability whatsoever arising from the use of this document. The use of this document is subject to the terms and conditions prescribed by the FSC from time to time in relation to the access, use, transmission or dissemination of this document.*

Table of Contents

	<u>Paragraph</u>	<u>Page</u>
Title	1	4
Version History and Date of Issue	2	4
Application	3	4
Summary of Guidance Note	4	4
Statement of Purpose and relationship to FSC Guidance Note 56: Principles of Internal Governance and Asset Stewardship	5	5
Definitions	6	5
Proxy Voting Policy Governance	7	6
Conduct of Proxy Voting	8	8
Disclosure of Proxy Voting	9	9

FSC Guidance Note No. 55

1 Title

- 1.1 This Guidance Note may be cited as *FSC Guidance Note No. 55: Voting Policy, Voting Record and Disclosure*.

2 Version History and Date of Issue

- 2.1 This Guidance Note was initially issued as *FSC Standard 13: Voting Policy, Voting Record and Disclosure*, taking effect from 1 July 2021.
- 2.2 The Standard was updated to a Guidance Note on 22 June 2026, in recognition of developments in the legal and regulatory framework and industry maturity that has established high standards of common practice.

3 Application

- 3.1 This Guidance Note is intended to be applied to investments in publicly listed or quoted Australian and international securities (including ETPs or their ex-Australian equivalents) held in investment schemes where the Operators of that scheme have the ability to exercise voting rights in respect of those investments.

This includes 'manage the manager' or 'fund of fund' (multi-manager funds) where the Scheme Operator has direct voting rights or can reasonably and practically obtain agreement to participate in the governance of underlying investments.

This also includes investment options offered on master trust platforms where the Scheme Operator holds direct and immediate voting rights and governance responsibilities over the underlying investments.

This Guidance Note is not intended to apply:

- Where a Scheme Operator does not hold direct and immediate voting rights because of the interposition of a trust or other structure between the entity and the ultimate investments (e.g. an investment by a Scheme Operator in a pooled unit trust or managed investment scheme).
- To Investor Directed Portfolio Service (IDPS), wrap or broking platforms, private client, managed direct accounts (MDA), and separately managed accounts (SMA) where the power to make decisions in relation to the investments, including voting rights, is not retained by the Scheme Operator.

4 Summary of Guidance Note

- 4.1 In summary:

- this Guidance Note is to assist an Operator in formulating and maintaining a Voting Policy, publicly available to Scheme Members;
- a Voting Policy should set out the principles under which voting decisions are made, including whether the Scheme Operator engages the services of proxy advisers;
- an Operator should vote in respect of all resolutions for its investments in a manner consistent with its Voting Policy and disclose reasons that instances of non-compliance may occur; and
- an Operator should maintain and publicly disclose its voting record (including unvoted resolutions and meetings where possible) each year, highlighting differences with its Voting Policy.

- 4.2 More information and details concerning these principles are set out in the following sections of this Guidance Note.

FSC Guidance Note No. 55

5 Statement of Purpose and relationship to *FSC Guidance Note 56: Principles of Internal Governance and Asset Stewardship*.

5.1 Asset Managers and Responsible Entities play a key role as the stewards of significant amounts of capital on behalf of their clients. In this context Scheme Operators may contribute to improving and upholding the governance of entities and markets in which they invest through implementing the principles in this guidance.

5.2 In many jurisdictions, ownership of equity in an enterprise confers a formal right to vote on directors and/or other proposals.

These rights are a fundamental component of the asset held and managed on behalf of clients, and fiduciaries must ensure they are exercised in support of client interests.

5.3 Scheme Operators are in a key position, as they undertake their role as custodians of significant amounts of capital on behalf of their clients, to ensure that responsible management and robust corporate governance practices form the basis of their stakeholder interactions.

Voting is just one way in which stewardship can be exercised within the Scheme Operator's activities. Other ways include various forms of engagement with the board and management of entities in which the interests are held.

For investors, stewardship is more than just voting. Activities may include monitoring and engaging with companies on matters such as strategy, performance, risk, capital structure, corporate governance including culture and remuneration, and social and environmental issues.

Accordingly, application of this Guidance Note should be considered in conjunction with the following Standards and Guidance Notes:

- a) *FSC Standard No. 1: Code of Ethics & Code of Conduct*; and
- b) *FSC Guidance Note No. 56: Principles of Internal Governance and Asset Stewardship*.

An Operator's Voting Policy should relate to its broader approach to stewardship. The governance framework supporting proxy voting, which may be articulated in the Voting Policy, should outline the role voting plays within the Scheme Operator's stewardship activities. This may include the role of voting within escalation processes.

6 Definitions

6.1 In this Guidance Note:

- **ASX Listing Rules** means the Listing Rules of ASX Limited, which amongst other things govern the admission of entities to the ASX official list and the quotation of securities and which are enforceable under Sections 793C and 1101B of the Corporations Act.
- **Corporations Act** means the *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth).
- **ETPs** means
 - a) Exchange-traded products being the group of products under the ASX Listing Rules comprising exchange-traded funds, managed funds, and structured products;
 - b) Similar products to those described in paragraph (a) listed or quoted on any other domestic financial market that have been licensed to operate in Australia by the relevant Minister in accordance with Chapter 7 of the Corporations Act, such as Chi-X Australia Pty Ltd;
 - c) Similar products to those described in paragraph (a) listed or quoted on any overseas financial market that have been licensed to operate in Australia by the relevant Minister in accordance with Chapter 7 of the Corporations Act, and
 - d) Similar products to those described in paragraph (a) which are listed or quoted and traded on exchanges ex-Australia.
- **IDPS** means an Investor Directed Portfolio Service which is a managed investment scheme for holding and dealing with investments selected by clients and having the characteristics identified by ASIC in RG 148 and relevant Class Orders and other publications (such as CP 176 and CP 194).

FSC Guidance Note No. 55

- **IDPS-like** means a registered managed investment scheme which operates in a similar fashion to an IDPS and having the characteristics identified by ASIC in RG 148 and relevant Class Orders and other publications (such as CP 176 and CP 194).
- **Multi-manager** means a single portfolio comprising of multiple funds ('fund of funds') or multiple fund managers ('manage the manager') rather than individual assets.
- **Master Trust** means a member discretionary master fund or a superannuation master trust, a registered superannuation fund which operates in a similar fashion to an IDP and having the characteristics identified by ASIC in RG 148 and relevant Class Orders and other publications (such as CP 56 and CP 184).
- **Proxy Voting** and any of its derivatives means the exercise by the Scheme Operator or its authorised agent or representative of voting rights in respect of Scheme assets.
- **Voting Policy** means a formal policy adopted by the Board of an Operator in relation to the exercise of voting rights attributable to the holding of relevant investments as Scheme assets by an Operator.
- **Voting Record** means the Voting Record referred to in this Guidance Note kept and maintained by an Operator and as a result of the Operator implementing its Voting Policy.

7 Proxy Voting Policy and Governance

7.1 Accountabilities and oversight

Operators should maintain and disclose a formal Voting Policy that sets out the principles and guidelines under which rights to vote are exercised and how these rights are exercised.

The Proxy Voting policy may form part of the Scheme Operator's publicly available Corporate Governance policy, i.e. not as a standalone policy.

An Operator which operates more than one Scheme may adopt a single Voting Policy in relation to the exercise of voting rights under all of those Schemes. The Operator should only consider this if the Voting Policy is suitable for each of those Schemes.

The Voting Policy should be approved by the Board of the Scheme Operator (or equivalent).

7.2 Coverage

The Voting Policy should cover all listed portfolio investments and ETPs where a right to vote exists.

If it is impractical for the Voting Policy to apply, for instance for multi-managers and master trusts, providing clear explanations as to why the Voting Policy may not apply to certain investments may be of assistance. The Voting Policy should apply across either the majority of holdings or the majority of managers.

FSC members may consider expanding the coverage further as appropriate, for instance with respect to non-listed managed investment schemes in which it holds interests or in respect of unlisted or non-quoted investments.

7.3 Elements of the Voting Policy

The Voting Policy should:

- Set out the role of voting in the context of the Scheme Operator's stewardship activities, for instance the role of voting in its escalation process or in engagement;
- Include a description of the governance arrangements under which the Voting Policy is maintained, including any processes by which decisions to approve voting practices divergent from the stated policy are made;
- Disclose who has responsibility for making proxy voting decisions. That is, whether an Operator exercises its voting rights directly, engages the services of a proxy voting adviser (this includes listing the names of third party proxy advisors) or outsources it to the Fund Manager(s) of the scheme;

FSC Guidance Note No. 55

- d) Clearly state where the Scheme Operator's approach to voting varies between jurisdictions (e.g. a more active approach might be taken to voting decisions in local markets or for significant holdings);
- e) Set out the circumstances under which the Scheme Operator may choose not to vote (e.g. where there is a conflict of interest, where holdings are particularly small and the costs involved in exercising voting rights may not be in the interests of customers, or in jurisdictions where share blocking prevents a shareholder from trading shares for a certain number of days after a vote, there may be occasions where it deemed to not be in the member's interest to vote);
- f) Detail any areas where the Scheme Operator is excluded from voting by law; and
- g) Provide an explanation of reasons for mixed votes; and
- h) Include a statement of any principles used to guide voting decisions, cross-referencing more detailed position statements if relevant. Statements as to how they guide voting decisions should be provided. Consideration should be given to principles on a range of matters relevant to voting including:
 - Voting preferences (e.g. on a case-by-case basis; in favour of board or management proposals unless the recommendation is inconsistent with these principles).
 - Resolutions or actions imposing differential voting rights, share classes, or "poison pill" or other anti-takeover provisions which seek to deter appropriate takeover offers.
 - Positions the Scheme Operator holds with respect to board composition, remuneration, diversity, climate change and other ESG matters as they relate to voting considerations. This should be cross-referenced to other position statements where they exist.
 - Circumstances under which the Scheme Operator may abstain, including both on the substance of the matter proposed and/or operational reasons.
 - Approach to potentially contentious issues, including, for example:
 - shareholder resolutions;
 - instances of voting against management recommendations; and
 - other issues or resolutions considered to be contentious or divisive in regard to media coverage, industry conventions and relevant laws.

7.4 Policy disclosure

Operators should disclose the relevant Voting Policy of the Scheme-to-Scheme Members.

7.5 Delegation of proxy voting decisions and oversight

Scheme Operators may issue voting instructions where they do not hold the investment interests directly, but through a custodian. In addition, Proxy Voting agencies or other advisors may contract with the Scheme Operator, Investment Manager, custodian or beneficial owner of the interests to advise on voting, issue voting instructions, execute votes and report on voting undertaken.

Scheme Operators may authorise investment managers to exercise the operator's voting rights on its behalf in accordance with the Operator's/managers Voting Policy. In this instance voting and other rights in relation to Scheme investments are retained by the Operator who may reserve the right to override a Manager's ability to exercise such rights.

Details of the arrangements in place must be included in the Voting Policy.

7.6 Use of proxy voting advisors

Where the Scheme Operator engages a proxy voting advisory service, the Voting Policy should disclose:

- the role played by the proxy voting advisor (whether advice, final decisions etc.);
- the extent to which the Scheme Operator relies on the advice and recommendations provided by the proxy adviser when deciding how to vote; and
- the name and any other relevant details of the proxy advisors used.

FSC Guidance Note No. 55

7.7 Voting Policy review

The Operator should review the Voting Policy on a regular basis.

A review would consider whether the Voting Policy continues to be fit for the purpose of ensuring that assets held for clients are managed in their best interests, taking into account developments in corporate governance practices.

Following the review, the Voting Policy should again be approved by the Board of the Scheme Operator (or equivalent).

7.8 Monitoring and compliance

In addition to approving the Voting Policy the Board (or equivalent) should be responsible for monitoring compliance with the Voting Policy, including noting/approving exceptions or approving the delegation of this approval to the appropriate internal decision-maker. Any such delegation should be reflected in the Voting Policy.

8 Conduct of Proxy Voting

8.1 Administration of voting

An Operator should ensure that votes are cast in a timely and efficient manner.

Operators should have procedures in place to minimise discrepancies between eligible votes and those cast, including through appropriate due diligence of service providers who may implement these procedures on behalf of the Operator.

This includes due diligence over any mismatch between records kept by an entity's registry services provider and the votes cast by an Operator, or if the share registry currently is being updated.

In addition to the disclosure of voting, Scheme Members should be advised that the scenarios noted above may occur and of the actions in place to minimise their occurrence.

8.2 Record keeping

An Operator should maintain its voting record in respect of the Scheme's investment for each financial year on a "per Scheme, per investment and per resolution" basis unless another form is more appropriate.

The Voting Record may include the following details:

- a) the name of the entity (and the Scheme if relevant);
- b) the ASX or equivalent code of the relevant interests or securities, unless these are not readily available to the Operator;
- c) the meeting date;
- d) the resolution number and name or summary of the matter or matters to be voted on at the meeting;
- e) if known, whether the resolution was endorsed by the issuer, its management or another person or company;
- f) The recommendation by the company management on how to vote;
- g) whether the Operator voted on the matter or matters and if applicable, how the Operator voted on the matter or matters;
- h) where votes cast by the Operator constitute exceptions to the Operator's Voting Policy, a reason for the inconsistency; and
- i) in the case of a decision not to vote, a record reflecting that and the reason.

8.3 Engagement with other investors

Provided that it is undertaken in a manner that does not breach corporations, takeover or competition laws, Scheme Operations may engage with other investors when formulating voting decisions.

FSC Guidance Note No. 55

8.4 Voting on shareholder resolutions

Scheme Operators may consider the merits of all resolutions put forward, irrespective of the proponents of the resolution.

Where resolutions are raised in multiple parts, Scheme Operators may consider both the individual merits of each part of the resolution and the impact of the resolution as a whole. For instance, the requirement to include a constitutional amendment in order to facilitate advisory resolutions in Australia may cause some shareholders to abstain on one part and support the other.

8.5 Filing/co-filing of resolutions

The filing or co-filing of shareholder resolutions may be considered an option available to Scheme Operators, including within any formal escalation processes.

8.6 Use of abstention

Scheme Operators may consider the value of abstaining from a proxy vote as a legitimate means by which to send a deliberate message to the company.

8.7 Notification

Scheme Operators should give consideration as to the value of providing prior notice to the company of its voting intention. This may be relevant where the voting intention differs from the recommendations of the relevant board and management and should be considered within the broader context of the Scheme Operator's stewardship activities.

9 Disclosure of Proxy Voting

9.1 Form of disclosure

The disclosures outlined in this section should be made readily available in one location on the Scheme Operator's public website.

These may include the Scheme Operator's Voting Policy and Voting Record. Where applicable this should be disclosed in conjunction with the Operator's other stewardship disclosures.

Communication with Scheme Members should be in a consistent and easy to understand format.

9.2 Disclosure of voting

In addition to relevant legislative requirements, Operators should publish a summary of its Voting Record for the previous financial year for relevant investments on an annual basis.

An Operator may disclose its Voting Record in the manner and form set out below:

- a) an Operator should prepare and finalise its proxy Voting Record at least annually for each financial year;
- b) an Operator should disclose its final proxy Voting Record on its website no later than three calendar months after the end of the financial year;
- c) at a minimum reporting should be taken on an "entity and resolution" level; and
- d) disclosure in a searchable and preferably filterable form.

The following does not apply to 'manage the manager' or 'fund of fund' (multi-manager funds):

- e) where voting has been inconsistent with the Principles set out in the Operator's Voting Policy, a reason for the inconsistency should also be provided.

Operators may consider providing further or more detailed information. For example:

- an Operator may disclose its voting record in relation to non-listed managed investment schemes in which it holds interests or in respect of unlisted investments; and/or
- in addition to making all of the information available on its website an Operator may provide summary details of its Voting Policy in the annual report to members.

FSC Guidance Note No. 55

9.3 Organisation level vs scheme level disclosures

Consideration should also be given as to whether Voting Records should be disclosed for each Scheme operated. For instance, where a Voting Policy covers multiple Schemes, organisational level disclosure may be appropriate.

Irrespective of whether reporting is undertaken on an organisation or scheme level the relevant Schemes should be identified within the disclosure.

Where an Operator reports on an organisational basis, the rationale for doing so should be disclosed to Scheme Members. Scheme members can request a voting record for their specific scheme, which should be provided within 10 business days.

9.4 Investor contact

Scheme Operators should provide contact details through which companies, Scheme Members and/or other stakeholders can make enquiries of the Scheme Operator with respect to its Voting Policy/Voting Record.